## STAFF REPORT <br> DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMISSION - VARIANCE REQUEST PUBLIC HEARING

According to Planning \& Development Services Department records, no Commission member or his or her spouse has a direct or indirect ownership interest in real property located within 2,000 linear feet of real property contained with the application (measured in a straight line between the nearest points on the property lines). All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

REPORT TO THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMISSION FROM DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES DIVISION, PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT, for Public Hearing and Executive Action on Wednesday, June 1, 2022 at 1:00 P.M. at Council Chambers, City Hall, located at $1755^{\text {th }}$ Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida.

> CASE NO.: 21-54000099

PLAT SHEET: D-10
REQUEST: $\quad$ Approval of a variance to the minimum required lot area for two (2) platted lots in common ownership to create two (2) buildable lots to allow construction of one new single-family residence with the existing single-family residence to remain.

OWNER: Stephen Pineault and Kathleen Boss Pineault $71614^{\text {th }}$ Avenue NE St. Petersburg, FL 33701

ADDRESS: $\quad 71614^{\text {th }}$ Avenue NE
PARCEL ID NO.: 17-31-17-83220-076-0050
ZONING: Neighborhood Traditional - 3 (NT-3)

REQUEST: The applicant requests approval of a variance to the minimum required lot area for two (2) platted lots in common ownership to create two (2) buildable lots to allow construction of one new single-family residence with the existing single-family residence to remain.

|  | Required | Requested | Variance | Magnitude |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Area (Lots 4 and 5) | 7,620 sq. ft. | 6,930 sq. ft. | 690 sq. ft. | $9 \%$ |

BACKGROUND: The subject property consists of platted Lots 4 and 5 of the North Shore Subdivision of 1914. The lots are currently combined under one Parcel ID Number and contain one single-family dwelling (on Lot 5) in the Neighborhood Traditional - 3 (NT-3) Zoning District (see Attachment A - Location Map). Both are platted lots of record 63 feet wide and 110 feet deep (see Attachment B - Plat). Both lots are 6,930 square feet in area and are deficient in lot area for the NT-3 Zoning District.

Lot 5 currently contains a single-family dwelling. Lot 4 is vacant except for a fence. The shed has been removed. The applicant proposes to retain the existing house and create two (2) buildable lots to construct one (1) additional single-family dwelling. The applicant has submitted a site plan, floor plans and façade sketches that depict a new house on Lot 4 which can be built in compliance with the zoning standards of the NT-3 Zoning District. The house and improvements on Lot 5 will comply with the required 7.5 -foot side yard setback as well as impervious surface ratio, building coverage and Floor-Area-Ratio (see Attachment C Application).

## VARIANCE REVIEW CRITERIA:

Physical Hardship Related to the Subject Property:
The hardship related to the property is the original platted configuration, that created lots deficient in area. Since their platting, the lots were re-zoned to RS-75, then NT-3, that required minimum 7,620 -square-foot lots.

## Consistency Review of Standards at Section 16.70.040.1.6 Variances, Generally:

1. Special conditions exist which are peculiar to the land, building, or other structures for which the variance is sought and which do not apply generally to lands, buildings, or other structures in the same district. Special conditions to be considered shall include, but not be limited to, the following circumstances:
a. Redevelopment. If the site involves the redevelopment or utilization of an existing developed or partially developed site:

- The parcel is partially developed, however that factor is not directly related to the variance request.
b. Substandard Lot(s). If the site involves the utilization of an existing legal nonconforming lot(s) which is smaller in width, length or area from the minimum lot requirements of the district:
- The lots are nonconforming platted lots of record and have been combined into one parcel. Because they are under common ownership and are nonconforming, they cannot be unmerged unless variance approval is obtained.
c. Preservation district. If the site contains a designated preservation district:
- The property is located in the North Shore National Historic District.
d. Historic Resources. If the site contains historical significance:
- The house is a Contributing structure in the North Shore National Historic District. The house is not a local landmark or in a local historic district. The house will be retained.
e. Significant vegetation or natural features. If the site contains significant vegetation or other natural features:
- This is not a factor in this application.
f. Neighborhood Character. If the proposed project promotes the established historic or traditional development pattern of a block face, including setbacks, building height, and other dimensional requirements:
- Development on nonconforming lots in common ownership was restricted by City Code 1973 through 2003. The Code was then amended in 2003, allowing development on any platted lot of record, loosening the previous restriction. After concerns that some of the consequent development was inconsistent with the neighborhood pattern in certain instances, City Council again amended the regulation on September 17, 2015, eliminating the right to build on substandard lots without first obtaining a variance, while also making clear the intent of the variance review is to determine whether such development would be consistent with the surrounding neighborhood pattern.
- Lot Dimensions:
- The Study Area for lot width and area variances is typically established as the subject block and surrounding blocks in the same zoning district and on the same side of a major street (see Attachment D - Study Area Location Map). In this case the Study Area includes the subject block, Block 76 to the north, and Block 52866 to the south, which are located to the east of Beach Drive NE, which is considered a major street.
- Per the attached Tabular Analysis (see Attachment E), 56 percent of lots in this area are substandard in width or area, and 74 percent of parcels contain one house per platted lot (also see Attachment F - Map Analysis). The lots in the area were generally platted at 63 feet wide by 110 feet deep.
- Per the foregoing analyses, the predominant pattern of the neighborhood is one house per platted lot, and substandard lots, which this application proposes. Further, the proposed deviation is small, at 9 percent. See also Attachment G - Photos.
- Setbacks, Building Height and Other Dimensional Requirements:
- The applicant submitted plans for development of Lot 4 which depicts a house which complies with the required building setbacks, Floor-Area-Ratio and impervious coverage limitations for the zoning district.

2. The special conditions existing are not the result of the actions of the applicant;

- The special conditions related to the case are not the result of the actions of the applicant.

3. Owing to the special conditions, a literal enforcement of this Chapter would result in unnecessary hardship;

- Literal enforcement of this Chapter would deprive the applicant of use of land equivalent to the use made of lands in the subject neighborhood.

4. Strict application of the provisions of this chapter would provide the applicant with no means for reasonable use of the land, buildings, or other structures;

- Strict application of the applicable provisions would allow the applicant to construct one single-family residential use on two platted lots where the predominant pattern in the neighborhood is one house per platted lot.

5. The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or other structure;

- The variance requested is the minimum required. A 9-percent variance to lot area is a minimal request.

6. The granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this chapter;

- The following purposes of the Land Development Regulations apply in this case:
- D. Development standards. The development standards contained within this chapter have been established in order to ensure adequate levels of light, air and density of development, to maintain and enhance locally recognized values of community appearance and to promote the safe and efficient circulation of pedestrian and vehicular traffic. The standards are in furtherance of the goals and objectives of the plan and are found to be necessary for the preservation of the community, health, safety and general welfare.
- E. Site requirements. The required area and dimensions of all building sites is sufficient to accommodate the anticipated density of development, open spaces, setbacks and parking spaces.
- The application would generally be in harmony with the permitted density in the Zoning District, and the submitted plans demonstrate sufficiency of the dimensions of the proposed building site to accommodate the density of development.

7. The granting of the variance will not be injurious to neighboring properties or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare;

- The granting of the variance is not anticipated to be directly injurious to neighboring properties. Several surrounding property owners have endorsed the application (see Public Comments section, below).

8. The reasons set forth in the application justify the granting of a variance;

- The reasons set forth in the application narrative do justify granting of the variance.

9. No nonconforming use of neighboring lands, buildings, or other structures, legal or illegal, in the same district, and no permitted use of lands, buildings, or other structures in adjacent districts shall be considered as grounds for issuance of a variance permitting similar uses.

- This criterion is not applicable. No nearby nonconforming use situations are being considered, only lot dimensions of neighboring lands.

PUBLIC COMMENTS: As of the date of this report, Staff received no objections from the public. No comments were received from the Historic Old Northeast Neighborhood Association, the Downtown Neighborhood Association, CONA or FICO. Four signatures of support were
submitted, including one from the abutting property to the east (\#746), the abutting property owner to the west (\#1317 Beach Drive NE), the property directly across the street (\#705), and a property to the rear (\#745 $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue NE).

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Based on a review of the application according to the stringent evaluation criteria contained within the City Code, the Planning \& Development Services Department Staff recommends APPROVAL of the application.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL: If the variance is approved consistent with the site plans submitted with this application, the Planning and Development Services Department Staff recommends that the approval shall be subject to the following:

1. The plans submitted for permitting shall substantially resemble those submitted with the approved variance application. Approval of this variance does not grant or imply variances from other sections of the City Code or other applicable regulations.
2. This variance approval shall be valid through June 1, 2025. Substantial construction shall commence prior to the expiration date unless an extension has been approved by the POD. A request for extension must be filed in writing prior to the expiration date.
3. Any public liens and assessments shall be satisfied.

Report Prepared By:
/s/Cheryl Bergailo 5/19/22
Cheryl Bergailo, AICP, LEED Green Assoc., Planner II Date
Development Review Services Division
Planning \& Development Services Department
Report Approved By:
/s/ Joe Moreda 5/19/2022
Joseph Moreda, III, AICP, Zoning Official (POD)
Date
Development Review Services Division
Planning \& Development Services Department
ATTACHMENTS: A) Location Map, B) Plat, C) Application, D) Study Area Location Map, E) Tabular Analysis, F) Map Analysis, G) Photos
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All applications are to be filled out completely and correctly. The application shall be submitted to the City of St. Petersburg's Development Review Services Division, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Municipal Services Building, One Fourth Street North.

## GENERAL INFORMATION

## NAME of APPLICANT (Property Owner): Stephen Pineault \& Kathleen Boss

Street Address: 716 14th Ave NE
City, State, Zip: St Petersburg, FL 33701
Telephone No: 9707105337 Email Address: spineault4@yahoo.com, kbpineault@gmail.com

## NAME of AGENT or REPRESENTATIVE: n/a

Street Address:
City, State, Zip:
Telephone No:
Email Address:
PROPERTY INFORMATION:
Street Address or General Location: 716 14th Ave NE, Lots 485, St Petersburg, FL 33701
Parcel ID\#(s): 17-31-17-83220-076-0050
DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST: Request variance to separate Lots $4 \& 5$ and for Buildable Lot Approval for Lot
PRE-APPLICATION DATE: 11/26/2019 PLANNER: Jennifer Bryla

## FEE SCHEDULE

| 1 \& 2 Unit, Residential $-1^{\text {st }}$ Variance | $\$ 350.00$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 or more Units \& Non-Residential $-1^{\text {st }}$ Variance $\$ 350.00$ | Each Additional Variance | $\$ 100.00$ |
|  | After-the-Fact $\$ 50.00$ <br>  Docks <br>  Flood Elevation | $\$ 400.00$ |
|  | $\$ 300.00$ |  |

Cash, credit, checks made payable to "City of St. Petersburg"

## AUTHORIZATION

City Staff and the designated Commission may visit the subject property during review of the requested variance. Any Code violations on the property that are noted during the inspections will be referred to the City's Codes Compliance Assistance Department.

The applicant, by filing this application, agrees he or she will comply with the decisions) regarding this application and conform to all conditions of approval. The applicant's signature affirms that all information contained within this application has been completed, and that the applicant understands that processing this application may involve substantial time and expense. Filing an application does not guarantee approval, and denial or withdrawal of an application does not result in remittance of the application fee.

## NOTE: IT IS INCUMBENT UPON THE APPLICANT TO SUBMIT CORRECT INFORMATION. ANY MISLEADING, DECEPTIVE, INCOMPLETE, OR INCORRECT INFORMATION MAX INVALIDATE YOUR APPROVAL.

Signature of Owner / Agent*:

All applications for a variance must provide justification for the requested variance(s) based on the criteria set forth by the City Code. It is recommended that the following responses by typed. Illegible handwritten responses will not be accepted. Responses may be provided as a separate letter, addressing each of the six criteria.

## ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

## APPLICANT NARRATIVE



## VARIANCE

## NARRATIVE (PAGE 2)

All applications for a variance must provide justification for the requested variance(s) based on the criteria set forth by the City Code. It is recommended that the following responses by typed. lllegible handwritten responses will not be accepted. Responses may be provided as a separate letter, addressing each of the six criteria.

## ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

## APPLICANT NARRATIVE

4. How is the requested variance the minimum necessary to make reasonable use of the property? In
what ways will granting the requested variance enhance the character of the neighborhood?
The lots subject to the Variance request cannot change. Construction of a new home on the vacant lot and any improvements to the existing dwelling will further enhance the the character and values of the surrounding neighborhood.

## 5. What other alternatives have been considered that do not require a variance? Why are these

 alternatives unacceptable?There are no reasonable alternatives available.
6. In what ways will granting the requested variance enhance the character of the neighborhood?

Construction of a significant primary dwelling on the vacant lot.

## VARIANCE

## NEIGHBORHOOD WORKSHEET

Applicants are strongly encouraged to obtain signatures in support of the proposals) from owners of property adjacent to or otherwise affected by a particular request.

## NEIGHBORHOOD WORKSHEET

Street Address: 716 14th Ave NE, St Petersburg, FL 33701 Case No.:
Description of Request: Request variance to separate Lot 4 from Lot 5 and for buildable lot variance for Lot 4

The undersigned adjacent property owners understand the nature of the applicant's request and do not object (attach additional sheets if necessary):

1. Affected Property Address: 745 13 th Are NE -

Owner Name (print): Karen Quilt
Owner Signature: Haven Own ty
2. Affected Property Address: $13 / 7$ Beach $D R$ NE. Owner Name (print): flushes + Dehorap. VerA Owner Signature:
3. Affected Property Address: $746 \quad 14+4$ AE. NE.

Owner Name (print): FrANCIS LINDA GERACE Owner Signature:
4. Affected Property Address: Owner Name (print): Owner Signature:

5. Affected Property Address:

Owner Name (print):
Owner Signature:
6. Affected Property Address:

Owner Name (print):
Owner Signature:
7. Affected Property Address:

Owner Name (print):
Owner Signature:
8. Affected Property Address:

Owner Name (print):
Owner Signature:

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT

## Application No.

In accordance with LDR Section 16.70.040.1.F., "It is the policy of the City to encourage applicants to meet with residents of the surrounding neighborhoods prior to filing an application for a decision requiring a streamline review or public hearing. Participation in the public participation process prior to required public hearings will be considered by the decision-making official when considering the need, or request, for a continuance of an application. It is not the intent of this section to require neighborhood meetings, (except when the application is for a local historic district) but to encourage meetings prior to the submission of applications for approval and documentation of efforts which have been made to address any potential concerns prior to the formal application process."

NOTE: This Report may be updated and resubmitted up to 10 days prior to the scheduled Public Hearing.

## APPLICANT REPORT

## Street Address:

1. Details of techniques the applicant used to involve the public
(a)Dates and locations of all meetings where citizens were invited to discuss the applicant's proposal

Informed abutting neighbors over the course of 2021 of our intent to file for a variance to separate Lot 4 and Lot 5 . Approached abutting neighbors for support which is shown on the neighborhood worksheet. Neighbors on all sides indicated support for our variance.
Zoan meeting with HONNA member
(b) Content, dates mailed, and number of mailings; including letters, meeting notices, newsletters, and other publications
Mailed notice of intent to file for variance to HONNA, FICO, CONA, and Downtown Residents Civid Association sent on 12/10/21.
(c) Where residents, property owners, and interested parties receiving notices, newsletters, or other written materials are located
Mailings per city guidelines for neighborhood associations.
2. Summary of concerns, issues, and problems expressed during the process

None


## NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE

A minimum of ten (10) days prior to filing an application for a decision requiring Streamline or Public Hearing approval, the applicant shall send a copy of the application by email to the Council of Neighborhood Associations (CONA) (coo Tom Lally at variance@stpetecona.org), by standard mail to Federation of Inner-City Community Organizations (FICO) (c/o Kimberly Frazier-Leggett at $330124^{\text {th }}$ Ave. S., St. Pete 33712) and by email to all other Neighborhood Associations and/or Business Associations within 300 feet of the subject property as identified in the Pre-Application Meeting Notes. The applicant shall file evidence of such notice with the application.

- Date Notice of Intent to File sent to Associations within 300 feet, CONA and FICO $\qquad$
- Attach the evidence of the required notices to this sheet such as Sent emails.




AS PER THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP NUMBER 12103C0217H
COMMUNITY NUMBER 125148 , EFFECTIVE DATE $8 / 24 / 202$
FIRM INDEX DATE $8 / 24 / 2021$, THE ABOVE DESCRIBED
PROPERTY APPEARS TO BE IN ZONE AE BASE FLOO
PROPERTY APPEARS TO BE IN ZONE AE BASE FLOOD

ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO THE NORTH AMERICAN
VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD8B) USING CITY OF
ST PETERSBURG BENCHMARK KB HAVING AN ELEVATION OF
$322^{\prime}$ (NAVD88)

NOTE:
N COMPLIANCE WITHFA.C 5 . 17.052 (2) (D) (4)
COCATION OF EASEMENTS OR RIGHT OF WAY OF
REQUIRED. THIS INFORMATION MUST BE FURNISHED
TO THE SURVEYOR AND MAPPER


ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO SURVEY MAPS O
REPORTS BY OTHER THAN SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES
S PROHIBITED WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE
SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES
(UPDATE SURVEY) SPLIT LOTS 485 INTO SEPARATE SURVEYS ON 8/31/2021 ADDED TOPOGRAPHY \& TREES ON 02/09/2022

1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SURVEY REPRESENTED HEREON MEETS THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE FLORIDA ADMINITRTRTM FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027 FLORIDA STATUTES

DATE OF SURV
 LICENSE NUMBER LS 6595


## View of neighbor abbutting Lot 4 . <br> Neighbor sighed in Support at variance



View of Lot 5 and remaming structure. Neighbor on other side of Lat 5 signed in support of variance.

## LOT 4 | BLOCK 76

716 14TH AVENUE NE
SAINT PETERSBURG, FLORIDA 33701

INSPIRED BY THE PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY OF WINDSOR LOCATED IN VERO BEACH, FLORIDA. WINDSOR, ALSO KNOWN AS THE VILLAGE BY THE SEA, IS COMPRISED OF CUSTOM DESIGNED HOMES WITHIN THEIR SIGNATURE ANGLO-CARIBBEAN ARCHITECTURE STYLE.

CLASSIC SOUTHERN AND ISLAND HOME STYLE WITH CONTEMPORARY APPEAL, USING PITCHED ROOFS, OPEN EAVES WITH PARTIALLY EXPOSED RAFTERS, AND WARM NEUTRAL COLORS.

HOMES ARE DESIGNED TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF PICTURESQUE COBBLESTONE STREETS AND COMMUNITY VIEWS WHILE OFFERING PRIVACY WITH GARDEN WALLS AND THOUGHTFULLY PLACED WINDOWS. MANY HOME SITES FEATURE GARDENS AND COURTYARDS, PORCHES OVERLOOKING PRIVATE GREENERY, MULTIPLE FRENCH DOORS TO LOGGIAS AND CARRIAGE HOUSES WITH GUEST SUITES ABOVE.

## LOT 4 | BLOCK 76 | MATERIAL WISH LIST

$>$ TRANSITIONALLY DESIGNED HOME WITH CLASSICAL DETAILS
> SMOOTH STUCCO
$>$ NEUTRAL PAINT COLORS
> INTERLOCKING ROOF TILES WITH WOOD SHAKE TEXTURE
$>$ TALL THOUGHTFULLY PLACED WINDOWS
$>$ TROPICAL SHUTTERS
$>$ FLORIDA CORAL AND BRICK PAVED TERRACES AND WALKWAYS
> NATIVE LANDSCAPING

## EXTERIOR VIBE



SMOOTH STUCCO
WARM NEUTRALS
NATURAL STONES
HARMONIOUS PRESENCE


INSPIRATION






FAR Bonus Calculation for proposed house
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Maximum FAR } & 0.40\end{array}$

Bonuses
One story front porch with separate roof structure with minimum width of $60 \%$ of the front façade. 0.08

Plant 2 shade trees between façade and street 0.02
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Divided light simulated muntins } & 0.03\end{array}$
Reduction of the height of both the peak and roofline, 0.02 bonus per foot, maximum 0.06. As designed the roof peak is $29^{\prime}$ and roofline is $22^{\prime}, ~ v s .35^{\prime} \& 24^{\prime}$ maximum allowable. As designed roof peak and roofline are $6^{\prime}$ and 2' less than maximum allowed, which results in a bonus of 0.04 .0 .04 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total bonuses } & 0.17\end{array}$

Maximum FAR adjusted for bonuses 0.57

Lot size 6,930

| FAR as designed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Enclosed (excluding garage) | 1,621 |
| First floor | 1,600 |
| Second floor | 721 |
| Over garage | 3,942 |
| FAR | 0.569 |
| FAR |  |
|  |  |
| Front yard impervious | 141 |
| Walkway + Stairway | 0.169 |
| Front yard impervious surface ratio |  |
|  | 2,863 |
| Total impervious | 168 |
| Building coverage | 200 |
| Front walkway | 3,231 |
| Driveway | 0.466 |
| Total impervious surface |  |
| Total impervious |  |

716 14th Ave NE Residual/Existing House

| Maximum FAR without bonuses | 0.40 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| Bonuses |  |
| Entire peak of primary roof structure of front façade is parallel to the |  |
| front property line | 0.02 |
| Height of both the peak and roofline, 0.02 bonus per foot, maximum |  |
| 0.06. As designed the roof peak is $29^{\prime}$ and roofline is 20', vs. 35' \& 24' |  |
| maximum allowable. As designed roof peak and roofline are $6^{\prime}$ and $4^{\prime}$ |  |
| less than maximum allowed, which results in a bonus of 0.06. | 0.06 |
| Divided light muntins | 0.03 |
| Total bonuses | 0.11 |
|  |  |
| Maximum FAR adjusted for existing bonuses | 0.51 |
|  |  |
| Lot size | 6,930 |
|  | 3,534 |
| Maximum FAR adjusted for identified bonuses |  |
|  | 1,594 |
| Enclosed | 360 |
| First floor | 1,305 |
| Finished Attic | 3,259 |
| Second floor | 0.470 |
| Total enclosed excluding garage |  |

2 Car Garage, in flood zone excluded for purposes of calculating FAR 420

| Building coverage |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| First floor, enclosed | 1,594 |
| Side porch and steps | 205 |
| Front porch and steps | 90 |
| landing and steps | 30 |
| Primary dwelling building coverage | 1,919 |
| Garage | 420 |
| Total building square footage | 2,339 |
| Lot size | 6930 |
| Building square footage ratio $\%$ | 0.338 |


| Impervious Surface Ratio | 2,339 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Building coverage | 182 |
|  | 126 |
| Rear driveway (26' $\times 7^{\prime}$ ) | 144 |
| Walkways on side of garage ( $21^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ each) | 99 |
| Walkway from rear of garage to intersection of walkway to side porch | 32 |
| stairs (48'x3') | 200 |
| Walkway from rear stairs to side porch ( $33^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ ) | 783 |
| A/C units (4' $\times 4^{\prime}$ each- 2 total) |  |
| Front walkways (5-' around $4^{\prime}$ wide) | 3,122 |
| Non-building impervious surface | 0.451 |
| Total impervious surface |  |
| Impervious surface ratio |  |



Root Peak ! Root line Bonus


~3 DETAIL-OF-UPPER-PART-OFEMTRANCE-PGECH~


## $7161^{\text {th }}$ Ave Existing House and setback after lot separation:

ISR- total impervious surface 0.45

## FAR

- Enclosed living space is 2,259 sqft on lot of 6,930 sqft for FAR ratio of 0.47
- Existing house has several bonuses which brings allowable ratio to 0.51


## Building coverage ratio after separation is 0.34

Side yard setback is $9.8^{\prime}$ from revised property line separating Lot 4 from Lot 5-see attached survey
Parking- there is a two car garage plus a $20^{\prime}$ long by $8^{\prime}$ wide parking space in the alley parallel to the fence on Lot 5 , on which the existing house sits. As part of this separation process we will relocate the fence by $12^{\prime \prime}$ so that the parking space will be $20^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. This parking space will be contained gravel. There are currently no parking areas on Lot 4 which is being separated, and for which parking is designed into new house. The garage is not included in FAR as it is in a flood zone.

## FAR Bonuses- see calculations:

1. Roof structure: Entire peak of primary roof structure of front façade is parallel to the front property line $=0.02$ bonus
2. Height of both the peak and roofline, 0.02 bonus per foot, maximum 0.06 . As designed the roof peak is $29^{\prime}$ and roofline is $20^{\prime}$, vs. $35^{\prime} \& 24^{\prime}$ maximum allowable. As designed roof peak and roofline are $6^{\prime}$ and $4^{\prime}$ less than maximum allowed, which results in a bonus of 0.06 ( 0.02 bonus per foot lower than maximum for up to 0.06 total bonus)
3. Muntins: All windows have divided light muntins $=0.03$ FAR bonus




## ATTACHMENT - E

Tabular Lot Analysis

Study Area

| Block | Conforming | \# Substandard | \% <br> Substandard | \# One House per Platted Lot | \# One House on More Than One Lot | \% One Per <br> Platted Lot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject Block 76 | 7 | 2 | 22\% | 6 | 3 | 67\% |
| Block 75 | 5 | 4 | 44\% | 5 | 4 | 56\% |
| Block 52866 | 0 | 5 | 100\% | 5 | 0 | 100\% |
| Average |  |  | 56\% |  |  | 74\% |




Aerial view of site and surrounding lots from the south.
Image from Bing maps.
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Aerial view of site and surrounding lots from the north.
Image from Bing maps.
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Views to the subject property from 14th Avenue NE.

Photos provided by Applicant.
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Views to the subject property from 14th Avenue NE.

Photos provided by Applicant.
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